

Guidelines

- ▷ Submission: Submit a written or typed report with your answers and graphics.
- ▷ Code: Send your source code (and makefile) to the TA of your session. Use "[ACS] Homework2" in the subject of your email.
- ▷ Credit: Your report should list all the contributors.
- ▷ Bugs: Print-outs of your source code are *not* required in your report, unless you have bugs. Help us give you partial marks.
- ▷ Deadline: Assignments have to be handed in at the beginning of the next exercise session.

Exercise 1 (10 points) Newton-Cotes scheme in 1D (paper and pencil)

According to the Newton-Cotes scheme, an integral of a function over a domain can be approximated as a weighted sum of function values at discrete points, i.e.

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx \approx \sum_{k=0}^N f(x_k) \int_a^b L_k(x) dx = (b-a) \sum_{k=0}^N C_k^N f(x_k)$$

where $L_k(x)$, the Lagrange polynomial is given by

$$L_k(x) = \frac{(x-x_0) \cdots (x-x_{k-1})(x-x_{k+1}) \cdots (x-x_N)}{(x_k-x_0) \cdots (x_k-x_{k-1})(x_k-x_{k+1}) \cdots (x_k-x_N)}.$$

Derive the Cotes numbers, C_k^N for $N = 3$.

Exercise 2 (10 points) Trapezoidal and Simpson's quadrature on a 2D domain (paper and pencil)

Show using Lagrange interpolation that the Trapezoidal and Simpson's quadrature for the integral over one sub-interval of a 2D domain

$$\int_{y_j}^{y_{j+1}} \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} f(x, y) dx dy$$

is given by

- ▷ [Trapezoidal quadrature (5 points)]

$$\frac{hk}{4} [f(x_i, y_j) + f(x_i, y_{j+1}) + f(x_{i+1}, y_j) + f(x_{i+1}, y_{j+1})]$$

EXERCISE 3 (30 POINTS) TRAPEZOIDAL AND SIMPSON'S QUADRATURE ON A 2D DOMAIN (PROGRAMMING)

▷ [Simpson's quadrature (5 points)]

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{hk}{36} [f(x_i, y_j) + 4f(x_i, y_{j+1/2}) + f(x_i, y_{j+1}) \\ & + 4f(x_{i+1/2}, y_j) + 16f(x_{i+1/2}, y_{j+1/2}) + 4f(x_{i+1/2}, y_{j+1}) \\ & + f(x_{i+1}, y_j) + 4f(x_{i+1}, y_{j+1/2}) + f(x_{i+1}, y_{j+1})] \end{aligned}$$

where $h = x_{i+1} - x_i$ and $k = y_{j+1} - y_j$.

Exercise 3 (30 points) Trapezoidal and Simpson's quadrature on a 2D domain (programming)

In a particle accelerator experiment, a sensor array counts positrons as a function of their energy T and their angular deviation θ with respect to the collision direction. The physicists are interested in the total emission of positrons in the range $[0, T_{\max}] \times [0, \theta_{\max}]$

$$I(f) = \int_0^{T_{\max}} \int_0^{\theta_{\max}} f(T, \theta) dT d\theta$$

where $f(T, \theta)$ is the positron intensity.

There are two theoretical models for this intensity

▷ [Intensity 1] $f_1(T, \theta) = e^{(T/T_{\max}) + (\theta/\theta_{\max})}$

▷ [Intensity 2] $f_2(T, \theta) = \sqrt{(1 - (T/T_{\max})^2 - (\theta/\theta_{\max})^2 + (T/T_{\max})^2(\theta/\theta_{\max})^2)}$.

This is a potentially challenging integration problem: it needs to be computed efficiently and the integrand can pose some problems to quadrature formulas. We take $T_{\max} = 1$ and $\theta_{\max} = \pi/2$.

(i) Use Trapezoidal and Simpson's quadratures to evaluate the total emissions $I(f_1)$ and $I(f_2)$ if the sensor array provides 33×33 evenly spaced data points (10 points),

(ii) For both schemes, study the behavior of the error $e = |I(f_{1,2}) - I^{\text{exact}}(f_{1,2})|$ if the array provides 17×17 , 33×33 , 65×65 , 129×129 and 257×257 measurements (10 points)

(iii) Verify if the error behavior agrees with the theoretical prediction, if not discuss the reason (10 points).

(Tips: (i) Trapezoidal and Simpson's quadratures are of order 2 and 4 respectively. (ii) Plot $\log(e)$ vs. $\log h$ where h is the size of one sub-interval $(T_{i+1} - T_i)/T_{\max}$. The slope of the line gives the order of the numerical method.)