Molecular Dynamics Simulation of Nanodroplet Evaporation

Molecular dynamics simulations are used to study the sub-critical evaporation of a nanometer-size droplet at 300 K and 3 MPa. Classical molecular dynamics techniques are combined with an adaptive tree data structure for the construction of the neighbor lists, allowing efficient simulations using hundreds of thousands of molecules. We present a systematic convergence study of the method demonstrating its convergence for heat conduction problems in submicron scales. These high resolution simulations compute values of the evaporation coefficient that are in excellent agreement with theoretical predictions. [DOI: 10.1115/1.1370517]
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1 Introduction

Phenomena associated with droplet dynamics are of fundamental importance to non-premixed combustion studies. Such phenomena include the formation of sprays and droplets, droplet coalescence and breakup, and droplet evaporation and combustion [1–4]. At subcritical conditions the droplet evaporation is well described by the classical $D^2$ evaporation law [5]

$$\frac{dD^2}{dt} = -\beta_e,$$

(1)

where $\beta_e$ is the evaporation coefficient, and $D$ is the droplet diameter. At supercritical conditions the continuum analysis of the problem is faced with suitable modeling of transport and thermodynamic properties, equations of state, and proper specification of the interface [6,7]. Using molecular dynamics simulations the modeling issues reduce to the specification of proper interatomic potentials.

Molecular dynamics simulations of nanometer-size droplets in equilibrium with a vapor have been applied for the study of the droplet pressure and density profile, and to the calculation of the surface tension as function of vapor pressure and droplet size [8–11]. Studies of non-equilibrium systems have included condensation and evaporation of argon slabs [12–14], evaporation of argon clusters [15], and evaporation of argon droplets [16,17], which recently have been extended to studies of supercritical evaporation of liquid oxygen [18]. Related molecular dynamics simulations also include studies of droplet collision cf. Svanberg et al. [19], Sikdar and Chung [20] and Murad and Law [21] and the cluster formation of a Lennard-Jones fluid during anisotropic expansion Ashurst and Holiyan [22].

The molecular dynamics simulations by Long, Micci, and Wong [16] involved sub-critical evaporation of an argon droplet in an argon vapor at high Knudsen numbers. At a droplet size of 5 nm (droplet consisting of 1000 atoms), they found good agreement with the theoretical correlation by assuming an evaporation coefficient of 0.3.

Little [17] simulated the sub and super-critical evaporation of nanometer-size droplets using parameters similar to the present study. For droplet sizes of 8 nm (using 5587 atoms), the evaporation coefficient was found within 20 percent of the $D^2$ evaporation law.

In this paper we consider the possibility of studying non-premixed combustion from the molecular level, by applying large number of molecules to approach the macro-scale physics. To validate this approach the present study involves subcritical evaporation of a droplet in a quiescent vapor allowing direct comparison with the $D^2$ evaporation law.

We conduct a series of high resolution simulations using several tens of thousands of computational molecules by efficiently employing tree data structures. We present a systematic convergence study to examine the influence of the different parameters imposed by the numerical method, including the size of the computational domain, cutoff radius, droplet diameter, heating frequency and size of heating region, initialisation period, and time step size. It is shown that molecular dynamics simulations converge to the $D^2$ evaporation law to desired accuracy by using large number of computational molecules. The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 outlines the numerical method including a description of the applied tree data structure and of the heating algorithm. Section 3 presents the convergence study and the predicted evaporation rates.

2 Molecular Dynamics

The evaporation of an argon droplet in its own vapor is studied using molecular dynamics simulations. The atoms are assumed to interact via the 12–6 Lennard-Jones pair potential

$$V(r) = 4\epsilon\left[\left(\frac{\sigma}{r}\right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma}{r}\right)^6\right],$$

(2)

where $r$ is the distance between the atoms. For argon, the zero energy distance $\sigma$ is 3.4 Å, and the depth of the potential $\epsilon$ is $120k_B$, where $k_B$ is Boltzmann’s constant. The N atoms move according to Newton’s law

$$m\frac{d^2r_p}{dt^2} = -\nabla V(r_p), \quad p = 1, \ldots, N$$

(3)

and

$$\nabla V(r_p) = \sum_{q=1}^{N} \nabla \left[ V(|r_p - r_q|) \right], \quad p = 1, \ldots, N,$$

(4)

where $m$ is the mass of the atom. Numerical integration of Eq. (3) nominally requires $O(N^2)$ operations, where $N$ is the number of atoms, but is rendered an $O(N)$ algorithm by the short range nature of the potential. The computational problem is reduced then to efficiently identifying the neighboring particles at each computational element. In the present work the neighbors are considered for cutoff radii of $r_c/\sigma = 2.5$, 5, and 10.
The system of equations is integrated in time using the leap-frog scheme and a constant number of atoms and in a constant volume. Periodic boundary conditions are imposed in all spatial directions.

For a single droplet evaporating into a vapor, the far-field temperature ($\bar{T}_v(r) \rightarrow \bar{T}_v^\infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$), which is enforced by a momentum scaling of the atoms located sufficiently far away from the droplet [16,23,24]. The velocity is scaled according to

$$v_i^{\text{new}} = v_i^{\text{old}} \sqrt{\frac{3 T_k k_B N_h}{2 E_{\text{kin}}}}.$$  

where $E_{\text{kin}}$ is the total kinetic energy of the $N_h$ atoms found in the heating region ($r_i > r_h$), and $r_i$ is the radial co-ordinate of the atom measured from the center of the computational box cf. Fig. 1. The size ($r_h$) of the heating element and the heating frequency are adjusted to minimize thermal noise and to obtain a good approximation of Eq. (5).

Non-dimensional quantities are marked with an asterisk and are based on $\sigma, \varepsilon, k_B$, and $m$. Specifically, time is non-dimensionalised by $\tau^* = t \sqrt{\varepsilon/(m \sigma^3)}$. A non-dimensional time step of $\delta\tau^* = 0.005 (\sim 10 \text{ fs})$ is used unless otherwise specified.

2.1 Tree Algorithm. Traditionally, molecular dynamics simulations use the Verlet neighbor list in combination with a linked list [25–27] to reduce the $O(N^2)$ operations required by the direct implementation of the force evaluation cf. Eq. (4). However, for systems involving large density variations (as in the present case), the regular mesh imposed by these methods often results in inefficient load balancing on parallel computers [17,28]. The present code adopts a different strategy, by using an adaptive tree data structure to sort the atoms and to build the neighbor list. The tree is constructed at every time step by recursively dividing the computational box in eight equal sized boxes. The division of the boxes is terminated if the size of the box is less than 2$r_c$, or if the number of atoms in the box is less than some prescribed value. The latter criterion allows for efficient computations of problems with large density variations. A threshold value of 40 atoms per box was used in this work. Figure 2 shows an example of a two-dimensional (quad) tree created for 16 atoms (shown as circles) using a threshold value of one atom per box. The resulting tree has four levels.

The list of neighboring boxes is constructed for each box that has no further subdivisions (childless boxes). Two boxes are said to be neighbors if they share at least one corner. The neighbor list is constructed in two steps. First, neighboring boxes at the same level in the tree (colleagues), are found for each box in the tree (a box has a maximum of 26 colleagues). The list of colleagues is build by traversing the tree bottom-up, starting at the next coarsest level where all (at most 8) boxes are colleagues. At higher levels of the tree the colleagues of a box are searched from the children of colleagues of the box’s parent. This effectively limits the search to $6^3$ boxes for each box. The colleagues (marked with an $\times$) of the shaded boxes are shown in Fig. 3. The neighbor list for the childless boxes is finally constructed by considering the colleagues and their descendents of the childless box cf. Fig. 4. The construction of the tree and the neighbor list takes less than 15 percent of the total CPU time.
To allow efficient computations, the atoms are mapped onto the boxes using the so called Morton ordering [29,30], in which atoms in neighboring boxes are stored in consecutive memory locations (cf. Fig. 5). This ordering can furthermore be utilized in a parallel domain decomposition of the atoms onto the processors cf. e.g. [31]. Another technique currently being investigated uses the lower levels of the tree to obtain information of the spatial distribution of the atoms and thus guiding the decomposition. After the decomposition the remaining levels of the tree can be constructed independently.

Periodic boundary conditions are handled by explicitly copying the particles in the outer regions to a "ghost layer" outside the computational box before the construction of the tree.

2.2 Initialization. The atoms corresponding to the liquid and vapor phases are initially placed on a face-centered-cubic (f.c.c.) lattice with a liquid and vapor density of $\rho_l^* = 0.715$ and $\rho_v^* = 0.0292$, respectively, corresponding to a non-dimensional saturation temperature of $T_{sat}^* = 0.83$ cf. [32]. The droplet is "cut" from the cubic f.c.c. lattice into a spherical shape to reduce the required relaxation period.

The atoms are assigned a Maxwellian velocity distributed with the desired initial temperature ($T_i^* = T_0^* = T_0^*$) corresponding to a saturation pressure of $p_i^* = 0.0076$ and well below the critical pressure for argon of $p_{crit}^* = 0.1154$ cf. [33]. At these conditions the droplet will be in equilibrium with the surrounding vapor. The initial mean drift velocity is computed and subtracted accordingly.

The system is subsequently relaxed for 25 or 50 non-dimensional time units by heating the complete system to a temperature of 0.83 at every $\tau_h/\delta t$ time step, where $1/\delta t$ is the heating frequency. During this initial heating the change in droplet diameter is less than 2 percent confirming that the droplet is in equilibrium with the surrounding vapor. After the relaxation, the temperature of the "far-field" vapor atoms is increased to the desired value of $T_i^* = 2.5$.

The size of the computational box ($L_x \times L_y \times L_z$) is chosen sufficiently large to avoid large density and pressure increases during the evaporation, and to accommodate the temperature far-field boundary condition. Using a non-dimensional box size of $(\alpha = L/D) = 6$ to 8, where $D$ is the droplet diameter results in pressure rises of 0.012 to 0.0285 (15–40 percent pressure increase), which are both well below $p_i^*$. Five spatial systems are investigated including three different droplet diameters ($D = 8, 13, \text{and } 17$ nm) and three different sizes of the computational domain cf. Table 1. The number of atoms range from 5769 and 13260 to 51105 and 105480 for the liquid and vapor phases, respectively. In all the cases, the heating was performed on atoms located well beyond the region of the droplet ($r_i/\delta t > 25, 30, \text{and } 40$).

2.3 Diagnostics. The density and temperature profiles presented in the following are instantaneous profiles obtained by sampling the enumeration of atoms and the associated kinetic energy in concentric shells of constant radial spacing around the system’s center of mass. A total of fifty shells were found to give a good resolution of the extracted fields.

The size of the droplet is given by the number of atoms found in the liquid phase as [17]

$$D^2 \approx \left(\frac{6 N_{drop}^{\text{in}}}{\pi \rho_i}\right)^{2/3},$$

where a spherical shape has been assumed. Using a simple Cartesian binning of the atoms, and assigning atoms in bins with a sufficiently high density to the liquid phase was found to give insufficient resolution. Instead, the local density is computed at the position of each atom using a spherical binning. A radius of interrogation of $1.5 r_i$ provides sufficient statistics while at the same time retaining the spatial resolution. Atoms with a local density exceeding a threshold density ($\rho_t^*$) are assigned to the liquid phase. The threshold value was found to determine the size of the drop to within a constant and hence not to influence the predicted evaporation rate. Thus, using a fixed value of $\rho_t^*$

Fig. 4 Example of neighbors of boxes in the two-dimensional tree created for the 16 atoms shown in Fig. 2. The neighbors of the filled boxes are marked with an x. The interactions of the particles in the two (filled) neighboring boxes are computed at the level of the larger box (left) utilizing the symmetry of Eq. (4).

Fig. 5 Atoms in neighboring boxes are stored in consecutive memory locations to allow efficient computations using Morton ordering.

Table 1 List of spatial systems investigated. $D^* - D/\alpha$ is the initial droplet diameter, $L^* - L/\alpha$ is size of the computational domain, $N_{\text{drop}}$ and $N_{\text{vapor}}$, are the initial number of atoms in the droplet and vapor phases respectively. $\alpha = L/D$ is the relative size of the computational domain, and $r_i^*/L$ is the position of the heating element.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>$D^*$</th>
<th>$L^*$</th>
<th>$N_{\text{drop}}$</th>
<th>$N_{\text{vapor}}$</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$r_i^*$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>5768</td>
<td>13260</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>5768</td>
<td>19316</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>5768</td>
<td>31664</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>22360</td>
<td>41432</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>51105</td>
<td>105480</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 List of spatial systems investigated. $D^* - D/\alpha$ is the initial droplet diameter, $L^* - L/\alpha$ is size of the computational domain, $N_{\text{drop}}$ and $N_{\text{vapor}}$, are the initial number of atoms in the droplet and vapor phases respectively. $\alpha = L/D$ is the relative size of the computational domain, and $r_i^*/L$ is the position of the heating element.


\[ D = \frac{1}{3N} \int_0^\infty \sum_j y_j(t) \cdot \gamma_j(0) \, dt, \]

where \( y_j(t) \) is the velocity of the \( j \)th atom at time \( t \). The predicted values are \( 592 \times 10^{-9} \text{m}^2/\text{s} \) and \( 639 \times 10^{-9} \text{m}^2/\text{s} \) for the two system sizes and within 5 percent of the theoretical value given by Eq. (10).

### 3 Results

A range of simulations were conducted to study the consistency and convergence of the MD approach and to determine the influence of the cutoff radius, the temporal and spatial resolution, and the heating frequency. The list of simulations is shown in Table 2, and the computational cost for each case is listed in Table 2. The present tree code was compared with a traditional cell index code for System I and V using cutoff values of 2.5 and 5.0, respectively. The cell index was found to be 20–30 percent faster for the smaller system (System I), whereas the tree code is approximately 40 percent faster for the larger system (System V) using a cut-off value of 5.0. The comparison was performed on a SGI (Octane R10k) workstation. During the simulations the droplet remained close to the geometrical center of the computational box, and hence no drift adjustment was required.

#### 3.1 Convergence Study

The sensitivity of the different numerical parameters is studied for the radial density and temperature profiles during the evaporation of the 5766 atom droplet.

##### 3.1.1 Spatial Convergence

Simulations are conducted to study the influence of the cutoff radius using \( r_c/\sigma = 2.5, 5.0, \) and 10.0, respectively. The influence on the non-dimensional radial density and temperature profiles at a non-dimensional time of 200 is shown in Fig. 7. The simulation using the smaller cutoff radius \( (r_c/\sigma = 2.5) \) clearly exhibits increased evaporation and lower droplet density due to the discontinuous cutoff of the potential and the reduced surface tension [35]. The mean difference between the simulation using a cutoff radius of 2.5 and the simulations using higher cutoff value is 12 percent, whereas the difference between the simulations of 5.0 and 10.0 is less than 1.0 percent. Similar but less severe trends can be observed in the temperature profile (Fig. 7) exhibiting difference less than 1.0 percent between the simulations.

Three different sizes of the computational box, \( \alpha = 6, 7, \) and 8 are tested, and differences of 2.9 percent and 5.3 percent are observed in the temperature profiles for a non-dimensional time of 100 and 200, respectively (not shown). The corresponding deviations in the density profiles are less than 0.5 percent, consistent with a prediction of the evaporation rate that is insensitive to \( \alpha \).

##### 3.1.2 Temporal Convergence

The temporal convergence is studied using different non-dimensional time step sizes of 0.005 (Case E01) and 0.010 (Case E08), respectively. The differences in both the density and temperature profiles are less than 1.7 percent (not shown). Similar deviations are observed for the length of the initialization period (Cases E01 and E09 using 25 and 50 non-dimensional time units, respectively). The effect of doubling the
initialization period results in a difference in the profiles of less than 1.7 percent (not shown). Thus, an initialization period of 25 is used throughout.

3.1.3 Heating Frequency. The effect of the heating frequency \(1/\delta \tau_i\) is studied using three different heating rates: \(\tau_h/\delta \tau = 100, 500, 1000\) (Cases: E01, E10, and E11), and the difference in the density and temperature profiles are found to be less than 0.5 percent and 1.3 percent, respectively. One simulation was conducted to study the initial heat contents of the system. In this

![Fig. 7 Convergence of the density and temperature profiles as function of the cutoff radius for the 5768 droplet at \(\tau^* = 200\). ---: \(r_c/\sigma = 2.5\) (Case E01); --·--: \(r_c/\sigma = 5.0\) (Case E02); ····: \(r_c/\sigma = 10.0\) (Case E03)]

![Fig. 8 Evaporation curve for the 5768 droplet. +: E01 \((r_c/\sigma = 2.5)\); ···: E02 \((r_c/\sigma = 5.0)\); ··: E03 \((r_c/\sigma = 10.0)\); ··: E05 \((r_c/\sigma = 2.5)\); ---: Theory.]

Table 2 List of simulation cases. The "system" refers to the spatial systems listed in Table 1, \(r_c/\sigma\) is the cutoff radius, \(\alpha = L/D\) the size of the computational box, \(\delta \tau^*\) the non-dimensional time step, \(\tau_h/\delta \tau\) the heating frequency, \(\tau_i/\delta \tau\) the initialization period, CPU time per time step (in seconds on a Sun Ultra 2 workstation), and \(\beta_v\) is the predicted evaporation rate. The theoretical evaporation rate is \(1.7 \times 10^{-7}\) m\(^3\)/s.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>System</th>
<th>(r_c/\sigma)</th>
<th>(\alpha)</th>
<th>(\delta \tau^*)</th>
<th>(\tau_h/\delta \tau)</th>
<th>(\tau_i/\delta \tau)</th>
<th>CPU time</th>
<th>(\beta_v)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E01</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>(1.43 \times 10^{-7})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E02</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>(1.13 \times 10^{-7})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E03</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>(1.13 \times 10^{-7})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E04</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(1.43 \times 10^{-7})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E05</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(1.43 \times 10^{-7})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E06</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(1.76 \times 10^{-7})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E07</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(1.78 \times 10^{-7})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E08</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.0100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E09</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E10</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E11</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Case the heating was stopped after the initialization and the droplet reached an equilibrium with a radius close to the initial.

3.2 Evaporation Coefficient. The non-dimensional evaporation rate ($D^2/D_0^2$) for the 5867 atom droplet simulation is shown in Fig. 8 for the different cutoff values. $D_0$ is the initial droplet diameter. The initial relaxation during the first 25 non-dimensional time units, and the subsequent heating of the droplet including condensation of vapor on the droplet [36], is clearly visible until $\tau \approx 100$. As the droplet reaches saturation, the evaporation follows the $D^2$ evaporation law.

The ‘numerical’ heating and evaporation caused by the discontinuous cutoff of the potential is seen for the simulations E01 and E05 as compared to the E02 and E03 cases.

Using Eq. (8) and a mean liquid density of 0.6, see e.g., Fig. 7 gives a theoretical evaporation coefficient of $1.7 \times 10^{-7}$ m$^2$/s. The simulated values extracted from Fig. 8 are $1.43 \times 10^{-7}$ m$^2$/s and $1.13 \times 10^{-7}$ m$^2$/s for the small and large cutoff simulation (cf. Table 2). Hence, the predicted evaporation coefficients are within

![Fig. 9](image-url) Density and temperature profiles during evaporation for the 5867 atom droplet (Case E02). ---: $\tau = 100$; ----: $\tau = 200$; ---: $\tau = 300$.

![Fig. 10](image-url) Time history of the 5867 atom droplet during evaporation (Case E02) (vapor not shown). From left to right: $\tau = 100, 200, and 300$.

![Fig. 11](image-url) Evaporation curve for the 22360 atom droplet. +: E06; ---: Theory.

![Fig. 12](image-url) Time history of the 22360 atom droplet during evaporation (vapor not shown). From left to right: $\tau = 100, 300, and 500$.

![Fig. 13](image-url) Evaporation curve for the 51104 atom droplet. +: E07; ---: Theory.
16 percent to 34 percent of the theoretical value. Deviations of the same magnitude were also found by Little [17], using similar number of atoms.

The corresponding radial density and temperature profiles are shown in Fig. 9. The instantaneous position of the liquid atoms during evaporation (Case E02) as determined from the local density method is shown in Fig. 10. Atoms originally in the vapor or liquid phases are coloured light gray and black, respectively. The atoms are plotted in scale as spheres with a radius of \( \sigma \).

Higher resolution simulations were run in order to establish the convergence of the method with respect to theoretically predicted values. The evaporation curve, density and temperature profiles, and snapshots for the 22360 and 51104 atom droplets are shown in Figs. 11–12 and 13–14, respectively. The simulated evaporation coefficients are \( 1.76 \times 10^{-7} \) m\(^2\)/s and \( 1.78 \times 10^{-7} \) m\(^2\)/s cf. Table 2, both in excellent agreement with the theoretical value. Thus, the simulations converge to the theoretical values as the number of droplet atoms is increased beyond \( 10^6 \).

To combine the evaporation curves for the different droplet sizes, time is non-dimensionalized using the macro-scale droplet life time,

\[
\tau_l = \frac{\beta_v}{D_0^2},
\]

where \( D_0 \) is the initial droplet diameter extrapolated from Figs. 8, 11, and 13. The evaporation rate consequently collapses as shown in Fig. 15.

4 Conclusion

The sub-critical evaporation of a nanometer-size droplet has been simulated using the method of molecular dynamics. The far-field boundary condition for the temperature is implemented by a regional heating technique, allowing correct prescription of far-field conditions.

An adaptive tree data structure is employed to sort the particles and to build the neighbor lists in order to reduce the computational cost. The efficiency is further enhanced by mapping the particles consecutively in memory using Morton ordering.

Convergence of the method has been demonstrated by varying the numerical parameters such as droplet size, domain size, cutoff range, time step size, initialisation length, and heating frequency.

Three argon droplets with diameters of approximately 8, 13, and 17 nm corresponding to 5768, 22360, and 51105 liquid atoms, respectively, were evaporated into an argon vapor at a non-dimensional temperature of 2.5 and a pressure of 0.071. For the 8 nm droplet, the evaporation rate was found within 35 percent of the theoretical predictions, whereas the evaporation rate of the two larger droplets deviated less than 5 percent.

In the investigated parameter range, the largest differences were observed for the simulations using different cutoff values resulting in increasing the evaporation rate for the smaller cutoff values.

The results of the simulations demonstrate that molecular dynamics simulations can be a consistent numerical method for the simulation of thermodynamics of nano-scale phenomena. New computational techniques and algorithms allow us to conduct routine calculations using tens of thousands and hundred of thousands of atoms, thus reaching more realistic behavior of the system being simulated.

Nomenclature

**Greek**

- \( \alpha \) = non-dimensional box size
- \( \beta_v \) = evaporation coefficient
- \( \delta \tau \) = non-dimensional time step
- \( \epsilon \) = energy scale of Lennard-Jones potential
- \( \rho \) = density
- \( \sigma \) = zero energy distance of Lennard-Jones potential
- \( \tau \) = time
- \( \tau_l \) = droplet life time
- \( \tau_h \) = heating time interval
- \( \Omega_1^{(1,1)} \) = collision integral

**Roman**

- \( B \) = transfer number
- \( D \) = self-diffusion coefficient
- \( D_s \) = self-diffusion coefficient at the surface of the droplet
- \( D_v \) = self-diffusion coefficient of the vapor
- \( E_{kin} \) = kinetic energy
- \( L \) = size of computational box
- \( N \) = total number of atoms
- \( N_h \) = number of atoms in heating region
- \( N_{drop} \) = number of atoms in the droplet
- \( T \) = temperature
- \( V \) = potential
- \( c_p \) = specific heat
- \( h_{fs} \) = heat of vaporisation
- \( k_B \) = Boltzmann’s constant
- \( m \) = atomic mass
- \( r \) = distance
- \( r_c \) = cutoff radius
- \( r_h \) = distance from center of box to heating region

---

**Journal of Heat Transfer**

$r_i$ – distance from center of box to i-th atom
$p$ – pressure
$v$ – velocity
$T$ – temperature
$T_{sat}$ – saturation temperature
$t$ – time

Superscripts
* – non-dimensional quantity

Subscripts
$0$ – initial value
$\text{crit}$ – critical
$v$ – vapor
$l$ – liquid
$c$ – cutoff
$h$ – belonging to heating region
$i$ – initialisation
$\text{sat}$ – saturation

References